



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3033/2024**

CHIRAG BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ompal Singh and Mr. Geet Kumar, Advocates along with Petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for State with Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr. Ashvini Kumar, Ms. Chavi and Ms. Sanskriti Nimbekar, Advocates with SI Shiv Singh, PS: Lodhi Colony
Mr. Naveen Chauhan, Advocate for R-2 & R-3 along with R-2 & R-3 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

15.01.2025

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that a sum of Rs. 4 Lakhs has been paid to Respondent No. 2.
2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel (ASC) appearing for the Respondent No. 1-State submits the prayer for quashing the FIR No.0140/2023 dated 24.05.2023 cannot be accepted in view of the gravity of the offence [registered under Section 287 and 304A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC)] as well as the fact that the compensation amount offer is grossly inadequate. He states that the compensation amount payable to the legal heirs of the deceased should be calculated as per the guidelines and



multiplier settled by Supreme Court in the judgment **Sarla Verma v. Delhi Transport Corporation**¹.

3. This Court finds merit in the submissions of the learned ASC.
4. At this stage, learned counsel for the Petitioner states on instructions from the Petitioner who is present in Court that he seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.
5. The petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

JANUARY 15, 2025/rhc/ms

¹ AIR 2009 SC 3104