



\$~64 to 67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2852/2025

PAWAN DAGAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.N.K. Aggarwal and Ms.Santana
Antil, Advocates

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr.Anand V.Khatri, ASC for the
State alongwith Insp. Ravi Prakash,
P.S.-J.P.Kalan

65

+ W.P.(CRL) 2853/2025

PAWAN DAGAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.N.K. Aggarwal and Ms.Santana
Antil, Advocates

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr.Anand V.Khatri, ASC for the
State alongwith Insp. Ravi Prakash,
P.S.-J.P.Kalan

66

+ W.P.(CRL) 2854/2025

PAWAN DAGAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.N.K. Aggarwal and Ms.Santana
Antil, Advocates

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr.Anand V.Khatri, ASC for the
State alongwith Insp. Ravi Prakash,
P.S.-J.P.Kalan

67

+ W.P.(CRL) 2855/2025



PAWAN DAGAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.N.K. Aggarwal and Ms.Santana
Antil, Advocates

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Anand V.Khatri, ASC for the
State alongwith Insp. Ravi Prakash,
P.S.-J.P.Kalan

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

08.09.2025

CRL.M.A. 26735/2025 (Exemption)

CRL.M.A. 26736/2025 (Exemption)

CRL.M.A. 26737/2025 (Exemption)

CRL.M.A. 26738/2025 (Exemption)

Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2852/2025

W.P.(CRL) 2853/2025

W.P.(CRL) 2854/2025

W.P.(CRL) 2855/2025

1. The petitioner herein has filed the above titled four (4) writ petitions being dissatisfied with the inaction on the part of the respondents and, *inter alia*, seeks issuance of directions to the official respondents to conduct an investigation in respect of his four (4) separate representations/request letters, all dated 03.03.2025.
2. Heard.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the respondents are not acting in a fair and just manner and are intentionally stalling the further



action warranted on all his representations, *ibid*.

4. Learned ASC appears on service of advance copy of the petition. He opposes the petition arguing that once the complaint is received, law will take its own course. No evidence so far has come-forth to register any case.

5. Be that as it may, in my opinion, the petitioner ought to have availed other available legal remedies for redressal of his grievance before approaching this Court.

6. Ordinarily, in cases of grievances arising from unfair or improper investigation of a complaint, the aggrieved person can seek recourse by approaching a superior police officer as per Section 30 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. If the grievance remains unaddressed, one can then approach a Magistrate of competent jurisdiction under Section 175(3) Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, who can seek submission of a report by the police. Reference may also be had to Apex Court judgment in ***Sakiri Vasu versus State of U.P. and Others, (2008) 2 SCC 409.***

7. In the premise, the present petitions are disposed of along with pending application(s), if any.

8. Petitioner is at liberty to take recourse to the remedy as may be advisable in accordance with law.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 8, 2025/dy