



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2849/2025**

PRIYANKA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vishal Boora, Advocates.
versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V Khatri, ASC (Crl) for
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

11.09.2025

%

1. By way of the instant petition, the petitioners seek following prayer:

“A. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly a writ of mandamus, directing the Respondent No.1 to provide protection to both the Petitioners and ensure that no harm befalls either of them, particularly, from the Respondent No.2,3 & 4 or other family members of the Petitioner No.1, and/or;

B. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly a writ of mandamus, directing the Respondent No.1 not to take any coercive action on the Complaint or FIR (if already registered) filed by the Respondent No.2,3 & 4 against the Petitioners and family members of the Petitioner No.2, and/or;

C. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly a writ of mandamus, thereby directing the Respondents not to interfere in the peaceful marital life of the Petitioners and not to cause any harm or bodily injury to the said Petitioners.”

2. Issue notice. Mr. Anand V Khatri, learned ASC accepts notice on behalf of the State.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned ASC for respondent no.1/State.

4. The present matter is of a sensitive nature where petitioner no. 1 and



petitioner no. 2 belong to different castes. It is stated that they gradually started liking each other and after attaining the age of majority, they solemnized marriage with each other on 22.05.2025 at Arya Samaj Marriage Trust, Rohini. Thereafter, it is stated that the family members of petitioner no. 1 had lodged a complaint against the petitioners. Moreover, allegedly, the petitioners are getting harassed by the respondents since then.

5. The petitioners have prayed for protection of their life from respondent nos. 2 to 4. The right to life and liberty is a Fundamental Right guaranteed by the Constitution of India and the instant case merits the indulgence of this Court in ensuring the same.

6. All the respondents are present before this Court and they state that they have never extended any threat to the petitioners herein, rather, they state that they do not even know where they are currently residing. They further state that they do not intend to remain in contact with the petitioners in future. It is further stated that petitioner no. 1 also threatened the younger daughter of the respondent no. 3 on a phone call, the audio recording of which will be given to the I.O concerned by respondent no. 3.

7. Both the petitioners, who are present before this Court, state that they will also not contact the respondent nos. 2 to 4 in future.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that the respondent no. 3 has the educational certifications of the petitioner no. 1 which ought to be handed over to the petitioner no. 1. However, respondent no. 3 argues that she is not in possession of the educational certificates of the petitioner no. 1, and rather states that they are currently deposited with the college where she is studying and since Since she is a major, she can collect the same herself.

9. In above regard, the respondent no. 3 also specifically states that there



are no belongings of the petitioner no. 1 lying in her possession.

10. Furthermore, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that on 11.08.2025, a complaint had been lodged at Police Station Mangolpuri against respondent nos. 2 to 4, thus, it is requested that the same be taken on record so that it may be treated as withdrawn. Accordingly, the I.O. concerned in the present case is directed to inform the SHO of PS: Mangolpuri that the said complaint also stands withdrawn.

11. Lastly, the learned ASC for State submits that the missing complaint of the petitioner no. 1, lodged by the respondent nos. 2 to 3, has already been closed.

12. Therefore, keeping in view the sensitivity of the present matter and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the SHO, PS Budh Vihar, Delhi is directed to provide adequate protection to the petitioners from respondent nos. 2 to 4. Further, the petitioners are directed to provide their mobile numbers to the concerned SHO and Beat Constable as well as phone numbers of Beat Constable and concerned SHO be shared with the petitioners.

13. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he is satisfied with this arrangement.

14. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

15. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025/vc