



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2834/2025 and CRL.M.A. 26614/2025**

PARMINDER

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Poonam Shahi and Ms. Isha,
Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC for the
State with SI Vinod Kumar, PS –
Mehrauli.

Dr. Ram Avtar Sharma, Ms. Garima,
Ms. Dinki Arora, Ms. Priyanka
BASoya and Mr. Mohit Sharma,
Advocates for respondent no. 2 with
respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

17.09.2025

1. Petitioner herein seeks compromise quashing of an FIR No. 433/2017 dated 01.07.2017 registered at Police Station Mehrauli, for the offences punishable under Sections 354A and 506 of IPC, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise between the parties.
2. Per FIR, the complainant alleged that the petitioner/her brother-in-law uttered obscene comments and warned her not to inform family members.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the parties have settled their dispute amicably before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi vide Settlement Agreement dated 26.03.2022 which is on record



(Annexure P-3). He further submits that an affidavit of no objection to the quashing, deposed by respondent no. 2, has also been placed on record.

4. Both the counsel for Respondent No. 2 and the learned APP for the State concur with the factum of the compromise arrived between the parties and convey their no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsels for the parties, as well as perused the material available on record.

6. The parties are present in Court, and I have interacted with them. Upon a query put to the complainant *qua* the settlement, she candidly states that the matter has been settled by her on her own volition, without any undue pressure or coercion. She further points out that her marriage with petitioner's brother also stands dissolved *vide* a decree dated 15.11.2022 passed by competent Family Court.

7. Given that the dispute has been resolved, continuing with criminal proceedings may serve no useful purpose. It would be a drain on judicial resources and abuse of the process of law, especially when dispute does not involve any public interest or interest of the society at large.

8. Continuation of the proceedings, on the other hand, may result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of the settlement, particularly when the complainant herself does not wish to pursue the case.

9. Quashing the FIR would rather facilitate the parties in maintaining or restoring cordiality. Therefore, the proceedings deserve to be quashed in the exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference may be had to the judgement rendered in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab &Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]*** in this context.

10. Accordingly, invoking inherent powers vested with this Court under



Section 528 of the BNSS, it is therefore deemed appropriate to quash the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 433/2017 dated 01.07.2017 registered at Police Station Mehrauli, for the offences punishable under Sections 354A and 506 of IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising there from are hereby quashed.

12. Pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2025/kd