



\$~57

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6296/2025**

**AMAN NARAYAN PRASAD AND ANR. ....Petitioners**

Through: Ms.Arzo Raj, Advocate alongwith  
petitioners

versus

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR .....Respondents**

Through: Mr.Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the  
State alongwith ASI Mukesh Kumar,  
P.S.-Kalindi Kunj  
Complaint in-person (VC)

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

**ORDER**

% **08.09.2025**

**CRL.M.A. 26627/2025 (Exemption)**

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 6296/2025**

1. The petition herein is a compromise quashing of an FIR No.0002/2025 dated 02.01.2025 registered at Police Station Kalindi Kunj, for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC stating that the allegations leveled at the time of incident arose out of heated tempers as a result of the routine altercations due to matrimonial acrimony.

2. The petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 got married on 08.02.2021 according to Hindu rites and customs. However, differences and disputes arose between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 and they started

**CRL.M.C. 6296/2025**

**Page 1 of 3**



residing separately from each other. The parties have now settled the matter amicably by entering into a settlement agreement/MoU dated 02.06.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that in view of the amicable settlement between the parties, the present FIR may be quashed.

4. Pursuant to the settlement, first motion of divorce has already been granted by the learned Family Court under Section 13(B)(1) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and second motion of divorce is yet to be filed in due course to obtain divorce in mutual consent.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard the rival contentions and also interacted with the complainant who is present in Court with her counsel.

6. Upon a query put to Respondent No. 2, she candidly submits that she has amicably resolved her differences with her husband and has entered into the settlement out of her own free will, without any pressure, coercion, or undue influence. She further states that pursuant to the settlement, the petitioner no.1 has complied with the terms of the settlement to her satisfaction and nothing remains payable to her. She also states that in view of the settlement, she does not wish to continue with the proceedings against the petitioners.

7. Having interacted with the complainant who is present in person, it seems to be an entirely a family matter not involving either in public interest or any societal interest, it would be an exercise in futility to continue with the further criminal proceedings as the same would be nothing but abuse of the process of law apart from the heavy burden on the judicial system as well as wasteful expenditure of the public by the prosecution.

8. The genuineness of compromise is not in dispute. However, since the Trial Court is not empowered to compound certain offences, the criminal



proceedings could not be dropped. In the premise, in the larger interest of justice, invoking inherent powers vested with this Court under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, it is deemed expedient to quash the FIR in question. Reference in this context may be had to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***.

9. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 0002/2025 dated 02.01.2025 registered at Police Station Kalindi Kunj, for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

**ARUN MONGA, J**

**SEPTEMBER 8, 2025**

dy