$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 13612/2025, CM APPL. 55818/2025 CM APPL. 55819/2025 CM APPL. 55820/2025

M/S JINDAL CHARITABLE SOCIETY .....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kavita Jha, Sr. Adv. with Mr. N.P. Saini, Mr. Abhinav Jain and Mr. Himanshu Aggarwal, Advs.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CENTRAL CIRCLE 29 & ANR. .....Respondents

Through: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, SSC with Mr. Shivendra Singh and Mr. Yojit Pareek, JSCs and Mr. Surya Jindal, Adv. for Income Tax Department
Ms. Rashmi, Adv. for R2/Indusind Bank

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

O R D E R

% **04.09.2025**

1. This petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

> *"Quash and set aside the impugned letter dated 20.08.2025 issued under Section 226(3) of the Act, for being patently illegal, contrary to the applicable law, passed/issued without jurisdiction, in violation of the relevant provisions of law governing such acts thereunder, and other grounds taken vide present Petition;"*

2. Mr. Gaurav Gupta, learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondent/revenue has placed before us a communication dated 01.09.2025,

received from the Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax, Central Circle, 29, Delhi, which reads as under:-

> *".......Please refer to the notice u/s 226 (3) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 having DIN & Notice no. ITBA/COM/F/17/2025-26/1079771338(1) dated 20.08.2025 vide which bank account no. 159599112755. 159958687029 held in your bank was attached. Now, the attachment of aforementioned bank account of the assessee may be revoked with immediate effect and the normal operation of the bank account be allowed......... "*

3. According to Mr. Gaurav Gupta, the communication makes it clear that the attachment of the bank account, for which the petitioner has approached this court, has been revoked with immediate effect. On this, Ms. Kavita Jha, learned senior advocate for the petitioner says nothing further survives in the writ petition and the same be disposed of as infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as infructous.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

SEPTEMBER 4, 2025
cd