



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6198/2025

SH. RAVI KHURANA @ DEEPAK KHURANA, AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Jai Khapran, Mr. Akash Mehta,
Mr. Keshvam Punj and Ms. Pooja,
Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for the State
with SI Sunil Chandra, PS Ambedkar
Nagar.
Mr. HS Sasan and Mr. Navjot Singh,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

19.09.2025

%

1. This petition has been filed seeking quashing of **FIR No.969/2014** registered at *P.S. Ambedkar Nagar* under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code 1860 (**'IPC'**), on the basis of a Memorandum of Understanding arrived at between the parties dated 15th May 2025, which is on record of this Court.
2. Issues arose out of a matrimonial dispute, and after the intervention of family, friends and relatives, the parties have decided to resolve their issues by virtue of the said settlement.
3. A revised settlement amount of *Rs.4 Lacs* has been agreed to be paid, along with custody of the child to remain with the respondent no.2/*mother*.



4. Out of the said amount, *Rs.3.5 Lacs* have been paid already. The balance amount of *Rs.50,000/-* is being paid by the petitioner to respondent no.2 in Court *vide DD No.482868* dated **21st July 2025** drawn on *State Bank of India*, which respondent no.2 has accepted and acknowledged.
5. Petitioner nos.1-4 (*except for petitioner no.5 who is present through VC*) are present in Court, as also respondent no.2/*complainant*, and have been duly identified by their respective counsels and the IO.
6. Considering the above settlement between the parties, and the chances of conviction of the petitioners being remote and bleak, there is no use continuing with proceedings of the present FIR as it would be a misuse of the process of the Court and an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Consequently, the ***FIR No.969/2014*** registered at *P.S. Ambedkar Nagar* under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed, *qua* the petitioners.
7. Parties shall abide by the terms of settlement.
8. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of. Pending applications (if any) are disposed of as infructuous.
9. It is however made clear that the said settlement will not affect the rights of the minor child in future.
10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/MK/tk