



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6233/2025, CRL.M.A. 26377/2025**
AMIT KUMAR SINGH AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Aditya Kumar Yadav, Mr. Gaurav
Chaudhry, Mr. Harendra Singh, Mr.
Alok Yadav, Advocates.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

28.10.2025

1. The present Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking to quash the FIR No. 0781/2022 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Ranhola, Delhi.
2. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 06.09.2021 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that no child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental difference, the parties started residing separately.
3. It is further submitted that on the complaint of respondent No. 2, an FIR bearing No. 0781/2022 under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 got registered at Police Station Ranhola.
4. It is stated that with the intervention of family and friends, the parties amicably settled all the disputes and differences vide Compromise Deed dated 07.10.2024, and it was inter alia settled between the parties that the



respondent No. 2/wife and the petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent. It is stated that petitioner No. 1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs. 26,00,000/- to the respondent No. 2/wife towards full and final amount of all the claims of the respondent No. 2/wife. It is also stated that the petitioner No. 1 has already been Rs. 13,00,000 to respondent No. 2/wife at the time of recording of statements in First Motion Petition under Section 13(b) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and another Rs. 13,00,000/- shall be paid at the time of recording of statements in Second Motion Petition under Section 13(b)(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

5. It is also stated that on 16.12.2024 the First Motion Divorce Petition has been passed by learned Family Court and Second Motion Divorce by mutual consent is still pending.

6. In view of the Compromise Deed dated 07.10.2024, the present petition has been filed.

7. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.

8. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled vide Compromise Deed dated 07.10.2024 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

9. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at vide Compromise Deed dated 07.10.2024 and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.



10. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has received part payment due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

11. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

12. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

13. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 0781/2022 registered at Police Station Ranhola, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

14. The petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 28, 2025/va