



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 319/2025**

NEELAM JOON NEE NEELAM DHANKHERAppellant
Through: **Mr. Prashant Jain and**
Mr.Siddhant Yadav, Advocates
versus

BRIG VIJENDRA SINGH JOONRespondent
Through: **Ms. Veena Goswami, Advocate**
through video conferencing

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

% **ORDER**
02.09.2025

CM APPL.41901/2025

1. By way of this application, the Appellant seeks condonation of the delay of 210 days in filing the present Appeal.
2. Issue notice.
3. Ms. Veena Goswami, learned counsel for the Respondent, accepts notice and has no objection to the application being allowed.
4. For the sufficient reasons stated in the application, and in view of no objection from the learned counsel for the Respondent, the delay is condoned.
5. The application stands disposed of.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 319/2025 & CM APPL. 41900/2025 (For Interim Stay)

6. By way of the present Appeal, the Appellant seeks the setting aside of the impugned Order dated 16.04.2025, passed by the learned



Judge, Family Courts, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, whereby the right of the Appellant herein to further cross-examine PW-1 was forfeited.

7. The learned Family Court has passed the Impugned Order, despite the fact that the Appellant's Advocate was hospitalized at Rajiv Gandhi Cancer Hospital due to blood cancer.

8. The Impugned Order is harsh and uncalled for, considering the facts and circumstances of the present case. Accordingly, the same is set aside.

9. The Appellant is granted one opportunity to complete the cross-examination of Respondent herein, i.e., Brigadier Vijendra Singh Joon, before the learned Trial Court on the date already fixed, i.e., on 20.09.2025.

10. With the aforesaid observations, the present Appeal, along with the pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J
SEPTEMBER 2, 2025/rk/er/ds