



\$~5 to 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

5

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1475/2024

RAGHURAJ SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utsav Jain, Advocate.

versus

SHALESH KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh with Ms. Swega
Agarwal and Mr. Praveen Kaushik,
Advocates.

6

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1476/2024

SATISH KUMAR SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utsav Jain, Advocate.

versus

SHALESH KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rabhvendra Upadhyay, Panel
Counsel, GNCTD with Ms. Purnima
Jain, Advocate.

7

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1480/2024

JUNAS TIRKEY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utsav Jain, Advocate.

versus

SHALESH KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh with Ms. Swega
Agarwal and Mr. Praveen Kaushik,
Advocates.

8

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1481/2024

RAM PRAVESH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utsav Jain, Advocate.



versus

SHALESH KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rabhvendra Upadhyay, Panel
Counsel, GNCTD with Ms. Purnima
Jain, Advocate.

9

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1485/2024
OMSHANKAR PRASAD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utsav Jain, Advocate.

versus

SHALESH KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh with Ms. Swega
Agarwal and Mr. Praveen Kaushik,
Advocates.

10

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1486/2024
VIPIN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utsav Jain, Advocate.

versus

SHALESH KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Panel Counsel,
Civil, GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

29.01.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for respondent submits that compliance report has been filed and the Demand Drafts, in respect of the amount due and payable, as per the Recovery Certificates, have already been sent to the concerned petitioners. The details of such Demand Drafts are also attached with the compliance report.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner also confirms the above said



fact.

3. However, the grievance of the petitioners is with respect to the other directions contained in order dated 24.06.2021. He submits that the requisite infrastructure has yet not been created for the purposes of enabling open court hearings.

4. As per the reply affidavit filed by the respondents today, it has been mentioned, as under, in para 3 of the compliance affidavit:-

“3. With regard to the directions of Hon’ble Court regarding formulation of mechanism by Directorate of Revenue/Revenue Department, GNCTD for holding of open court proceedings with display of cause lists outside the office cum court rooms by the recovery officers besides the orders getting uploaded on the website etc., it is humbly submitted that steps are taken as per guidelines issued by Labour Department with the approval of the Competent Authority vide Gazette Notification No.1590 dated 17.06.2019 for procedure to be followed by Labour Department and Revenue Department for recovery of amounts in terms of Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal award in favour of workmen.”

5. The above said directions were passed way back on 24.06.2021.

Though there might be certain procedural and infrastructural issues but, nonetheless, it is the duty of the respondent to ensure that the directions are complied with in a scrupulous manner.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that as per instructions, which he has received from learned SDM Dwarka, the requisite infrastructure shall, positively, be created within a period of 10-12 months from today.

7. Since the matter has already delayed considerably, it is expected that there would not be any further delay in the matter and the requisite infrastructure, in terms of the above said directions, shall be created by the respondents.



8. In view of the above said assurance, learned counsel for the petitioner, at the moment, does not press his contempt petitions. He, however, submits that if nothing is done despite the above said assurance given by the respondent, he may be permitted to revive the present contempt petition.

9. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of. Liberty, as prayed, is granted.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 29, 2025
st