



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 6035/2025, CRL.M.A. 25656/2025, CRL.M.A.
25657/2025
FIROJ KHAN ALIAS RAJU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Parveen, Adv.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with IO/SI Pardeep Kumar, P.S.
Ghazipur
Mr. Sanjeet Trivedi, Adv. for
complainant.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER
08.09.2025

%

1. The petitioner herein seeks quashing of an FIR No. 09/2020 dated 09.01.2020, under Sections 135/150 of the Indian Electricity Act (Amend.), 2003 registered at P.S. Ghazipur, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Allegedly, an inspection team found unauthorized meter being used by the Petitioner and the same was removed, seized and sealed by the inspection team. The connected load was 3.572 kwh/non-domestic. Rs.3,12,593/- was assessed as the total demand as per the guidelines of DERC.
3. In view of the aforesaid, I have heard the parties and perused the case



file.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has now been amiably settled with the respondent BSES before the learned Trial Court *vide* an order dated 19.02.2024 for an amount of Rs.1,35,000/-. He states that the entire amount of Rs. 1,35,000/- has been paid in the account of respondent no. 2 as per the settlement and a 'no objection' certificate has also been issued in favour of the petitioner to this effect.

4.1 The learned counsel for the petitioner states that no useful purpose would be served by continuation of proceedings of FIR No. 09/2020, P.S. Ghazipur, Delhi against the petitioner.

5. On a court query, *qua* the veracity of the compromise between the parties, the learned counsel for the complainant/ BSES as also learned APP for the State also do not dispute it.

6. In view of the above, further criminal proceedings in the present matter would be an abuse of process of law, especially where the parties have amicably settled their dispute.

7. Moreover, allowing the criminal proceedings to continue would unnecessarily burden the administrative and judicial system and waste the valuable time of the investigating agencies and the Court. In this context, reference may be had to judgment rendered in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr., (2012) 10 SCC 303.***

8. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and the consent given by learned counsel for the complainant, under instructions, that the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioner, the FIR in question being FIR No. 09/2020 dated 09.01.2020, under Sections 135/150 of the Indian Electricity Act (Amend.), 2003



registered at P.S. Ghazipur, Delhi and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioner.

9. Petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms.
10. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 8, 2025

acm