



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 13103/2025 & CM APPL. 53659/2025**
KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA SANGATHANPetitioner

Through: Mr. Nitin Kumar Bhatnagar,
Mr. Akram Khan and Ms.
Tanushree Khandelwal, Advs.

versus

ADITYA RAJRespondent

Through: Ms. Sunieta Ojha, Ms. Vasudha
and Ms. Pragati Bhatia, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **09.10.2025**

1. On 24.09.2025, the learned counsel for the petitioner had produced before us the result of the respondent and we found that the respondent has not qualified under the EWS category. The learned counsel for the respondent was, therefore, directed to seek instructions if there is anything that survives in the Impugned Order.
2. The learned counsel for the respondent submits that in view of the respondent not qualifying, the respondent is not going to press for the enforcement of the Impugned Order.
3. In view of this development, we set aside the Impugned Order without going into the merits of the same and only observing that the



same has been rendered academic in nature.

4. The petition along with pending application is disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

OCTOBER 9, 2025/ys/k/ik