



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13082/2025 & CM APPL. 53562/2025**

DANISH AHMED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Kumar Ojha, Advocate.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing
Counsel for MCD with Ms. Sakshi
Saxena and Ms. Niharika Singh,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

% **27.08.2025**

1. Counsel for petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition on ground that certain incorrect documents have been filed and incorrect averments have been made.
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (**MCD**) points out that the relocation letter, which the petitioner has referred to and stated to be filed as *Annexure P-1*, has in fact not been placed on record. Instead, *Annexure-P-1*, is the mutation/change of hands document dated 27th February 2012, and *Annexure P-2* also relates to the receipt of the money which was paid for mutation/transfer charges.
3. Counsel for petitioner relies upon the order dated 15th February 2024 passed in W.P (C) 9225/2023, titled as ***Ramesh Gupta v Municipal Corporation of Delhi and Anr.*** However, it is evident that the said order



related to relocation letters which were issued in 2022, when the issue of re-locating *tehbazari* vendors from *Bapu Market, Chandni Chowk to Mata Sundari Road* arose; however, there is nothing on record filed by petitioner which brings him within the zone of consideration as contemplated by order dated 15th February 2024.

4. Accordingly, petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
5. Pending applications are rendered infructuous.
6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

AUGUST 27, 2025/RK/zb