



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 2695/2025**
MANSHI GUPTA

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Vishal K. Panwar, Mr.
Aditya Khatana and Mr. Abhay
Purohit, Advs.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ORS.

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing
Counsel (Crl.) with Mr. Aryan
Sachdeva, Adv.
ASI Satish Kumar, HC Bhawar
Singh, PS Dabri.
ASI Kulbhushan, Police Post
Incharge Daulatpur, District
Una, H.P.
Mr. Parmod Kumar Sharma and
Mr. Prakhar, Advs. for Mother
of the petitioner

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

% **ORDER**
29.08.2025

1. Pursuant to the Order dated 27.08.2025, the mother of the
petitioner has appeared through Video Conferencing from Police



Chowki Daulatpur, District Una, Himachal Pradesh along with her son Pawan Gupta.

2. ASI Kulbhushan, who has also appeared through Video Conferencing, submits that the mother of the petitioner has made a voluntary statement to the effect that she is presently residing with her son and is not inclined to return to her daughter at this stage. He further submits that there is an ongoing property dispute between the parties, due to which she has decided to reside with her son.

3. At this stage, the mother of the petitioner was also queried by the Court, who states that she is 65 years of age and is residing with her son out of her free will and choice. She further states that although she made efforts to resolve the property dispute with the petitioner, those efforts were unsuccessful, and accordingly, she has instituted a civil suit in Delhi. Furthermore, at present, she is also not willing to meet her daughter.

4. In view of the above, no further directions are required to be passed in the present petition.

5. The present petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

AUGUST 29, 2025/SU/KP