



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5983/2025**

VISHAL RAJINDER KRISHAN SHARMA AND ORS

.....Petitioners
Through: Ms.Sujata Ray and Mr.Kapil
Chauhan, Advocates alongwith
petitioners (VC)
versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents
Through: Ms.Priyanka Dalal, APP for the
Statealongwith SI Krishan, P.S.-
Cyber Dwarkaalong with
complainant

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

ORDER
27.08.2025

%

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of an FIR No.64/2024 dated 06.08.2024 for the alleged offences under Sections 34, 420 of IPC, registered at P.S. Cyber Police Station, Dwarka, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise between the parties.
2. Per FIR, the Respondent no.2/Complainant was defrauded by unknown persons through online fraud. Investigation ensued wherein it was revealed that money was transferred from account of complainant into the bank account of Petitioner No. 1, Petitioner no. 2 and Petitioner no.3.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the parties have now



amicably settled the matter *vide* MOUs/ Settlement Deeds dated 17.03.2025 with Petitioner Nos.1 and 2, and *vide* MOU/Settlement Deed dated 18.10.2024 with the father of Petitioner no.3.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, in view of the compromise between the parties and as Respondent no. 2 is not inclined to press charges, the FIR in question along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom may be quashed.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsels for the parties and have perused the case file.

6. In response to a Court query, both the counsel for Respondent No. 2 and the learned APP for the State concur with the factum of the compromise between the parties and convey their no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

7. The Complainant/Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and I have interacted with him. Upon a Court query, Respondent No. 2 candidly submits that the dispute, which was essentially civil in nature, was unnecessarily given a criminal colour owing to his lack of understanding of criminal law. He further states that the civil dispute has since been amicably resolved and that nothing remains payable to him after the amount due from the petitioners have been duly paid in terms of the settlement.

8. Respondent No. 2 further affirms that the settlement has been arrived at voluntarily, without any duress or coercion, and accordingly, he does not wish to pursue any charges against the petitioners.

9. Having heard and considering the nature of the dispute, it appears to be private and personal, arising from a financial transaction, and lacking any public or societal interest. The matter, which seems to have arisen from



misunderstandings between the parties, has since been amicably resolved. Thus, continuation of criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, constitute an abuse of the process of law, and place an unnecessary burden on the judicial system. In contrast, quashing the FIR in question would promote peace and harmony between the parties, particularly as the complainant does not wish to pursue the case further.

10. Accordingly, invoking inherent powers vested with this Court under Section 528 of the BNSS, it is deemed expedient to quash the FIR in question. Reference in this context may also be had to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***.

11. The instant petition is thus allowed. The criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No.64/2024 dated 06.08.2024 for the alleged offences under Sections 34, 420 of IPC, registered at P.S. Cyber Police Station, Dwarka, and further proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

12. The petition, along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 27, 2025/dy