



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5994/2025**

SANJAY KUMAR PANDEY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Karan Bidhuri, Adv. along with
petitioner

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State
with Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Adv.
SI Manish, PS Maidan Garhi and SI Ajeet, PS
Kapashera
R-2 in person (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

11.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 0048/2020 registered at Police Station Maidan Garhi for the offences punishable under Sections 354(D)/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner was one of the office staff (role of surveyor) of respondent no. 2 who used to report to her every day. After few days, the petitioner started stalking respondent no. 2 after which she blocked him from Whatsapp and other social media but the petitioner started calling her from other mobile numbers and one day he



called her and threatened her and, thereafter, she made a complaint to the owner of the company.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Settlement Agreement dated 05.08.2025 is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure A-3”. *Qua* this agreement, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 0048/2020 registered at Police Station Maidan Garhi against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Maidan Garhi. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. She has informed the Court that the crime in



question is more than 5 years old and she wishes to move on with her life by forgiving the petitioner.

10. Respondent no. 2 further submits that the petitioner has already apologized for his acts, and he has suffered a lot due to the present case and now the petitioner is married, therefore, she does not want to pursue with the present case.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR No. 0048/2020 registered at Police Station Maidan Garhi for the offences punishable under Sections 354(D)/506/509 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

15. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025/ar/av