



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5871/2025

ISHIKA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Saurabh Arya, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for
State with SI Jitendra, PS-Malviya Nagar.

Mr. Atul Sharma, Advocate for R2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

01.12.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed by petitioners under Section 528 BNSS read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 4/2014 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S. Malviya Nagar and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.
2. Issue notice. Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, learned APP for the State accepts notice.
3. The petitioners are present in Court and respondent no.2 has joined through VC. They have been identified by Mr. Saurabh Arya, learned counsel for petitioners and Mr. Atul Sharma, learned counsel for respondent no.2 as well as, by investigating officer SI Jitendra, PS-Malviya Nagar.



4. The brief facts of the case are that the marriage between respondent no. 2 and brother of petitioners namely Sunil Sharma was solemnized on 06.05.2011 according to Hindu Rites and Customs. However, on account of temperamental issues, certain disputes arose between the parties and they started living separately. The dispute between the parties also led to the registration of present FIR.

5. Mr. Saurabh Arya, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that FIR in question was registered at the instance of respondent no.2. During pendency of the present proceedings, husband of respondent no.2 passed away on 02.06.2017. He further contends that 5th accused, i.e., father-in-law of respondent no.2 went missing since 28.06.2017 and a complaint in that behalf has already been made by the petitioners. The factum of death of husband of respondent no.2 as well as, the fact that her father-in-law is missing is not in dispute.

6. Now, with the intervention of family and relatives, petitioners and respondent no.2 have arrived at a settlement, terms whereof are recorded in the petition, which is also supported by the affidavit of respondent no.2.

7. On a query posed by the Court, respondent no.2 states that she has settled the matter with petitioners and does not wish to prosecute the criminal proceedings any further. She has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

8. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

9. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.



10. Consequently, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 4/2014 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S. Malviya Nagar alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.
11. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.
12. Order be uploaded on the website of this court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 1, 2025/jg