



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2641/2025, CRL.M.A. 24988/2025 & CRL.M.A. 24989/2025**

SURAJ SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anupam Jindal, Advocate with
Petitioner in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(Crl) with Mr. Abhinav Kumar,
Advocate for State alongwith SI
Manisha

Mr. M. Arshyan, Mr. Akbar Kaleem
and Mr. Samar Gulrez, Advocates for
R-2 with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

26.08.2025

1. Petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the Petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 0460/2016 dated 16.12.2016 registered under Sections 354/206 IPC at P.S. Mandawali and all proceedings arising therefrom in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement dated 29.05.2025.
2. The parties are present in person in the Court and have been identified by their respective Counsel and the Investigating Officer.
3. It is submitted that the parties are neighbours living in the same



vicinity and had some dispute over some petty issue due to some misunderstanding, which resulted into registration of this FIR against each-other.

4. Both the Complainants in cross FIRs say that the other party had hold them by their hand and had threatened. Almost identical allegations are made in both the Complaints.

5. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily and they submit that the said Settlement has been arrived at between the parties, without any pressure and coercion and that they shall remain bound by the terms of the settlement.

6. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Memorandum of Settlement dated 29.05.2025 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

7. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is related to neighbours, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

8. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, considering the totality of circumstances and the nature of the dispute, which is essentially a neighbourhood fight and the fact that they have settled the matter, the FIR No. 0460/2016 dated 16.12.2016 registered under Sections 354/206 IPC at P.S. Mandawali and all the



consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. The Petition alongwith pending Applications, stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 26, 2025

N