



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12750/2024**

KRISHNA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Verma and Ms. Siddhi,
Advocates.

Versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing
Counsel with Mrs. K.K. Kiran Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advocates for
R-1.

Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, Ms. Priyanka Singh, Mr.
M. Aamir Faiyaz and Ms. Swati, Advocates for
R-2 with Mr. Bijender Singh, ZRO and Mr. Rajeev
Ranjan, ASO.

Mr. Sharique Hussain, Advocate for R-3.

Mr. Anil K. Bhasin, Advocate for R-4 and R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

10.03.2025

%

1. This writ petition is preferred on behalf of the Petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a direction to DJB and BSES for providing water and electricity connection respectively, without insisting on no objection certificate from Respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. After hearing argument canvassed on behalf of Petitioner and Respondents No. 4 and 5, it is evident that there are disputes with respect to the ownership of property bearing No. D2/71, Jeewan Park, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi and that there is no disconnection of either water or electricity



connection by the statutory authorities.

3. Learned counsel for BSES submits that there are four electricity meters in the subject property and pursuant to order dated 10.02.2025 passed by this Court, inspection was carried out, upon which it was found that BSES has not disconnected the electricity connection and there is supply of electricity to the entire house, save and except, one room on the first floor, which is on account of faulty wiring and this has to be repaired by the Petitioner, who lives in the room through a private electrician and BSES cannot be blamed for lack of electricity supply to this room.

4. Insofar as the DJB is concerned, learned counsel for DJB submits, on instructions, that DJB has not disconnected the water supply to the subject property and the water meters are in the name of Respondents No. 4 and 5. In the absence of proof of occupancy by the Petitioner and other requisite documents, no separate water connection can be given to the Petitioner for the part of the property she statedly, resides in.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the Petitioner, on instructions, submits that Petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is given to DJB and BSES not to disconnect the water and electricity connections to the subject property as also to Respondents No. 4 and 5 and Petitioner will rectify internal issues of wiring etc., on her own.

6. Having heard the counsels, this writ petition is disposed of, directing Respondents No. 4 and 5 not to disconnect the water and electricity supply to part of the property where Petitioner resides at present. BSES and DJB, as noted above, have not taken any steps to disconnect the supplies and therefore, no direction is required to be passed to the said authorities.

7. This order is passed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of



the Petitioner and Respondents No. 4 and 5 in any litigation and will have no bearing on the ownership disputes.

JYOTI SINGH, J

MARCH 10, 2025/shivam