



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 340/2025 with I.A. 20497/2025

MOTI MAHAL DELUX MANAGEMENT
SERVICES PVT LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anirudh Bhatia and Ms. Shreya
Sethi, Advocates.

versus

M/S SECURE SERVICE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rishabh Sharma, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

22.08.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, seeking interim reliefs.
2. Without prejudice to his rights and contentions, counsel for the respondent appearing on advance notice, submits that the respondent does not wish to enter into any Franchise Agreement to run an outlet under the branding of 'Moti Mahal'.
3. In view of the aforesaid statement, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition.
4. The petition is dismissed as not pressed.
5. All pending application(s) stand disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 22, 2025

Rzu