



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5813/2025

ROHIT BHARGHAV AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. V. K. Mahato, Adv. along with
petitioners

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Lavkant, PS Pandav Nagar

Ms. Simran, Adv. along with R-2 and R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

19.09.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No. 209/2012 registered at Police Station - Pandav Nagar on 05.06.2012, for offences punishable under Sections 323/342/427/452/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 04.06.2012, when respondent no. 2 was present at his home, the petitioners came and started beating him and his son and when respondents no. 2 and 3 raised alarms, all of the petitioners fled away, and petitioner no. 3 had also hit the car of respondent no. 2 with a brick and broke the rear mirror of the car.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners and respondents no. 2 and 3 have now settled their



disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Compromise deed dated 02.08.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-3. *Qua* this deed, the respondents have agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 209/2012 registered at Police Station - Pandav Nagar against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Heard. Issue notice.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigation Officer, Police Station - Pandav Nagar. Respondents no. 2 and 3 are also present in the Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer.

10. On a query made by this Court, respondents no. 2 and 3 have categorically stated that they have entered into compromise on their own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by them that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they do not wish to pursue the matter any further.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioners and respondents no. 2 and 3 without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.



12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement and further having regard to the fact that the injury suffered by respondents no. 2 and 3 is simple in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. In the present case, the State machinery has been put into motion and the police has concluded the investigation and has filed the charge-sheet, and further the judicial time has also been wasted, therefor, it is deemed appropriate to impose cost on the petitioners. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioners are directed to deposit a total cost of Rs.10,000/- with the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee within a period of two weeks from today.

15. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. 209/2012 registered at Police Station Pandav Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 323/342/427/452/506/34 of the IPC, and all the consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners, subject to payment of cost. The receipt of payment is to be deposited and verified by the concerned IO.

16. The petition along-with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/ar/ryp