



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3164/2025**

CHAND MALIK

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. D.B. Yadav and Mr. Sauraj
Yadav, Advocates

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with
Insp. Ranbir Singh, PS Adarsh Nagar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **18.11.2025**

1. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the Bail Application. However, submits that some directions be given for recording of evidence in a time bound manner.
2. Learned Prosecutor for the State submits that the delay in completing the evidence was on account non-availability of FSL and the case property for which, several priority letters had been sent by the learned ASJ. It is further submitted that the FSL Report and case property had been submitted *vide* supplementary Chargesheet in January, 2025. The steps are now being taken to expedite the recording of evidence.
3. It is further submitted that this case is not based on circumstantial evidence, but on the statement of two eye-witnesses.
4. In view of the submissions made on behalf of the Applicant, he is permitted to withdraw the present Bail Application with direction to the learned Trial Court to make an endeavour to complete the Prosecution



evidence, preferably, within one year.

5. The Bail Application is accordingly disposed of.
6. Copy of this Order be sent to the learned Trial Court.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2025

N