



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12607/2025 & CM APPL. 51421/2025

ADITY SHIVCHARAN RATHOD

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Awantika, Advocate (through
VC)

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Akanksha Gupta, Advocate for
MCD

Mob: 9013799111

Email:

advakankshagupta@hotmail.com

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPC and Ms.
Pragya Yadav, Advocate with SI
Satish Bhat and ASI Gopal Vats for
R-2

Mob: 9717569103

Email: rajesh.laws@gmail.com

Ms. Prabhsahey Kaur, SC with Mr.
Bir Inder Singh, Advocate for R-3

Mob: 9711778471

Email: sahayk@gmail.com

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

20.08.2025

%

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking directions to the respondents to take action against the ongoing illegal and unauthorized construction being carried out by respondent no. 4 in property measuring 100 sq. yards in *property/plot no. A-1, L-shape property, Khasra no.*



630/190 and 631/190, in front of mandir, Jasola old village, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi-110025.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 2-Station House Officer (“SHO”), on advance notice, submits that the address of the petitioner is of Maharashtra.

3. It is submitted that in order to assess the status of the property, respondent no. 2 tried to contact the petitioner, however, the petitioner has not been co-operating in any manner.

4. He, thus, submits that the identity of the petitioner seems to be doubtful.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 1-Municipal Corporation of Delhi (“MCD”) submits that the land over which the property in question exists belongs to the Delhi Development Authority (“DDA”). Thus, she submits that it is for the DDA to take action with regard thereto.

6. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 3, i.e., Special Task Force (“STF”) submits that the complaint received against the property in question has already been registered.

7. She further submits that in case the property belongs to the DDA, the said aspects shall be considered in the next meeting of STF.

8. Accordingly, without going into the antecedents of the petitioner, since the complaint in the present case already stands registered, it is directed that in the next meeting of the STF, the STF shall assess and ascertain under whose jurisdiction the area in question falls.

9. In case, the property in question exists on the land belonging to the DDA, requisite directions shall be issued to the DDA to take action, in



accordance with law.

10. In case of any encroachment is found, appropriate action shall be taken by requisite authorities, in a time bound manner after following due process of law.

11. Noting the aforesaid, the present writ petition along with the pending application, is accordingly disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

AUGUST 20, 2025

ak