



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5710/2025**

SMT. LAXMI DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajeev Kumar, Advocate along
with petitioner in person (through
VC).

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State with SI Mamta, PS: Dabri.

Mr. Rahul Kumar with Mr. Piyush M.
Dwivedi, Mr. shubhashist R. Soren
and Mr. Chetanya Baweja, Advocates
for R-2 and R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

07.11.2025

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks partial quashing of FIR bearing no. 261/2011, registered at Police Station Dabri, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 204/497/498/498A/406/500/501/506/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. The petitioner who is the mother-in-law of respondent no. 2 and



respondent no. 2 herself are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station from Dabri, Delhi.

4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the son of the petitioner and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 02.12.2007 in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that there one male child born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioner and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding (*MoU*) dated 28.05.2012 executed at Mediation Center, Dwarka Courts, Delhi.

5. It is stated that the husband of the respondent no. 2 has been declared as a Proclaimed Offender in the present case.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is partially quashed against the petitioner who is the mother-in-law of respondent no. 2.

7. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in partially quashing the FIR in question.



8. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 261/2011, registered at Police Station Dabri, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 204/497/498/498A/ 406/500/501/506/120B of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are partially quashed to the extent of the petitioner herein.
9. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.
10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 07, 2025/vc