



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12420/2025 and CM APPL. 50646/2025

SHRI GANESH RAI POST GRADUATE
COLLEGE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Kishore and Ms. Jyoti
Jyotsna, Advocates.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal and Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

22.08.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed by petitioner seeking following reliefs:

“a. Pass an appropriate order, direction or writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the respondent No.2/NRC to comply with the directions passed by the respondent No. 1/NCTE in its Appeal Order F.No. 89-58/E-344677/2024/Appeal/1st Meeting, 2025 APPLNRC202314703 dated 31.01.2025 and to consider the letter and documents submitted by the petitioner/ institution in the interest of justice and in the facts and circumstances of the present case; and /or

b. Pass an appropriate order, direction or writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the respondents to issue an order of restoration of recognition and permit the petitioner/institution to participate in the counseling and admit students for the year 2025-2026, in the interest of justice and in the facts and circumstances of the present case”

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf petitioner invites attention of



the Court to the order of the Appellate Committee dated 31.01.2025 to submit that *vide* said order, Appellate Committee decided to remand back the matter to NRC with a direction to verify the documents submitted in appeal from the concerned affiliating University/authority.

3. Petitioner institution, which was appellant before the Appellate Committee was also directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that under the cover of letter dated 12.02.2025, petitioner had submitted all the relevant documents, however, despite submission of such relevant documents, respondents have not issued an order of restoration of recognition.

5. Mr. Hardik Rupal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent/NRC, on instructions, submits that the documents furnished by petitioner were received by respondent/NCTE on 05.08.2025.

6. He further states that the decision with regard to restoration will be taken within a period of 10 days from today and further consequential order to allow petitioner to participate in the ongoing counselling for the academic session 2025-2026 will be passed. The statement is taken on record and the respondent shall remain bound by the same.

7. In view of the aforesaid statement of Mr. Rupal, no further orders are called for in the present petition.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 22, 2025/jg