



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 14/2024 & CM APPL. 1342/2024**

SANDEEP DEMBLA

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Kirti mewar and Ms. Kirti
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

RAJINDER SINGH AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for R-3
to R-5.
Mr. Pulkit Singh, Advocate for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

% **07.11.2025**

FAO 14/2024 & CM APPL. 1342/2024

1. This present appeal has been filed by the appellant/plaintiff assailing the order dated 13.09.2023 passed by learned District Judge, North-west, Rohini District Court, Delhi in an application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, in CS (DJ) No. 44 of 2019, whereby the application for interim injunction was only partially allowed. The respondents/defendants have been restrained from creating any



third party interest in the property. However, the relief for restraining the respondents /defendants from carrying out any further construction in the property was not allowed. Aggrieved, the appellant/plaintiff has filed the instant appeal.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant/plaintiff submits that the trial has already commenced and the matter presently stands posted for evidence of the plaintiff.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents/defendants submit that they have no intention either to carry out any further construction in the property or to create any third party interest in the said property. The said submission is taken on record.

4. The impugned order of injunction granted is modified whereby, both sides are directed to maintain *status quo* and not create any third party interest in the property or make any new constructions in the property till the matter is finally disposed of by the trial court.

4. The trial court shall make every endeavour to dispose of



the matter as expeditiously as possible.

5. In view of the above, the appeal stands disposed of.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2025/rs