



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5648/2025**

NEERAJ VALMIK & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma and Mr. Mohit Singh, Advocates alongwith petitioners in person

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for the State with ASI Rajesh Mallik (IO)
Mr. Tushar Randhawa, Advocate for R-2 alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

18.08.2025

CRL.M.A. 24196/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 5648/2025

3. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing No. 358/2023, registered at Police Station CAW Cell, Nanakpura, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station CAW Cell, Nanakpura, Delhi.



5. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that on 16.11.2021, the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu rites, customs and ceremonies. No child was born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that due to temperamental difference between the parties, petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 started living separately since August, 2022. On the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter before the CAW Cell, Nanakpura, Delhi culminated into the present FIR. It is stated that with the intervention and efforts made by the family members and friends, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 08.04.2024, entered between them.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that she has already received the entire settlement amount. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 358/2023, registered at Police Station CAW Cell, Nanakpura, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable



under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 18, 2025/ns