



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5658/2025

SHREYA CHANANA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gurmukh Singh Arora, Adv.
Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State.
SI Ashish, PS Janakpuri
Mr. Shivek Rai Kapoor and Mr. Harshvardhan
Pandey, Advs. for R-2 with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

11.09.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of e-FIR No. WD-JP-002173 registered at e-Police Station (Janak Puri, West District) on 29.11.2023, for offences punishable under Section 380 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner stole gold jewellery, cash approximately ₹5,00,000, watches, cosmetics and branded purses from the house of respondent no.2.
3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future and have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed.



4. A copy of the withdrawal statement of the petitioner dated 12.03.2025 is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure P-4”. *Qua* this annexure, the petitioner has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. WD-JP-002173 registered at e-Police Station (Janak Puri, West District) against respondent no. 2.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the aforesaid submissions.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, e-Police Station (Janak Puri, West District). Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.
10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands has been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.
11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same



would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. WD-JP-002173 registered at e-Police Station (Janak Puri, West District), for offences punishable under Section 380 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

14. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025/AS/yr