



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 5641/2025**

ANIMESH KUMAR PANDEY & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr.Satish Chand Pandey and
Ms.Sonali Agarwal, Advocates

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr.Digam Singh Dagar, APP with SI
Arun Kumar, PS Prem Nagar
Complainant in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

% **18.08.2025**

Crl.M.A. No. 24174/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

Crl.M.C. No. 5641/2025

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of an FIR No. 398/2019 under Sections 498A/406/506 and 34 IPC, registered at P.S. Prem Nagar, Delhi, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise between the parties.
2. The dispute between the private parties stems from marital discord between Petitioner No. 1 (the husband) and Respondent No. 2 (the wife). Petitioner no. 1 (husband) and respondent no. 2/ complainant (wife) got married on 26.02.2015 according to Hindu rites. No child is born out of the wedlock. However, due to temperamental differences between them, they



have been living separately since 02.12.2019. Petitioner no. 2 is the father of Petitioner no. 1.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have now amicably resolved all their disputes by way of compromise deed dated 13.08.2025 which is on record (**Annexure-P-3**). He further submits that the terms of the compromise deed have been complied with by the petitioners.

4. In response to a Court query, both the counsel for Respondent No. 2 and the learned APP for the State concur with the factum of the compromise arrived between the parties and convey their no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as perused the material available on record.

6. Parties are present in Court, and have been duly identified by their respective counsel and the concerned Investigating Officer. On a query posed by the Court to Respondent no. 2, she submits that they have amicably settled the dispute and accepted the terms thereof out of their own volition and without any duress, pressure or coercion from any quarter. She further states that the parties have obtained a decree of divorce dated 05.07.2022 by mutual consent from the competent Family Court.

7. Having heard the counsels and interacted with the complainant, the dispute between the parties seems to be purely private in nature, which arose due to matrimonial discord, not involving either public interest or any societal interest. Given that the dispute has been resolved amicably and the parties have obtained divorce, it would be an exercise in futility to continue with the further criminal proceedings. The same would be nothing but abuse



of the process of law apart from the burden on the judicial system as well as wasteful expenditure of the public by the prosecution.

8. Taking a wholesome view, exercising inherent powers vested with this Court under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 482 Cr.P.C.), it is deemed expedient to quash the FIR in question. Reference in this context may be had to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***.

9. Consequently, the instant petition is allowed. FIR No. 398/2019 under Sections 498A/406/506 and 34 IPC, registered at P.S. Prem Nagar, Delhi, and the criminal proceedings arising there from are hereby quashed.

10. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 18, 2025/SV