



\$~89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1237/2025**

SUNITA BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Zeba Khair, Mr. Harsh Nath
Tiwari, Advs. along with petitioner in
person through vc.

Versus

RAJAN BANSAL

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **18.08.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 50350/2025(exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. This application is disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1237/2025

3. The present petition under Section 10& 12 read with Section 2(B) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers: -

“a) Take cognizance and initiate appropriate proceedings of the wilful deliberate, and contumacious disobedience by the Respondent of the binding and subsisting orders passed by this Hon’ble Court dated 18.07.2024 in CM(M) 2940/2024 and subsequent directions dated and subsequent directions dated 08.12.2022, 06.07.2023 in CM(M) No. 1373/2022, CM(M) 1030/2023 along with main interim maintenance order dated



17.09.2015 in CS(OS) 146/2009; 23 CONT.CAS(C)-1237/2025

- b) Take action against the Respondent for civil contempt under Sections 10 and 12 read with Section 2(b) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, which may entail imprisonment for a term up to six months, or a fine up to two thousand rupees, or both
- c) Pass such coercive orders as deemed fit, including attachment of the properties of the Respondent, freezing of bank accounts, and/or detention in civil prison;
- d) Pass such further or other orders as may be deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.”

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that *vide* order dated 18.07.2024, the learned single Judge in CM(M) 2940/2024 passed the following directions: -

“7. Be that as it may, since the execution petitions are pending for quite some time, learned Trial Court is requested to take up the matters and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of six months from today after hearing both the sides.”

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that respondent has not been appearing before the concerned Court and therefore, is delaying the matter.

6. In the opinion of this Court, the learned Trial Court in pursuance to the aforesaid direction was requested to take up the matter and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of six months after hearing both the sides. There was no specific direction to the respondent which has not been complied with.

7. In case the respondent does not appear before the learned Trial Court the petitioner has alternate remedies which may be exercised in accordance with law.



8. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed and disposed of.
9. Pending application if any also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

AUGUST 18, 2025/kr/dj