



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 640/2025

GAURAV BANSAL SON AND LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF
RAM CHARAN BANSAL PROPRIETOR OF M/S RAM CHARAN
BANSALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vivekanand, Advocate

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCILRespondent

Through: Ms. Sakshi Popli, ASC, Mr. Ravi
Kishan Chandna, A. Standing
Counsel with Ms. Vidushi Chandna,
Mr. Suraj Gupta and Mr. Udbhav K.
Garg, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
22.08.2025

%

1. This petition has been filed under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 on behalf of the petitioner, who is the claimant in the arbitration proceedings, for extension of the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. It is stated that pleadings in the arbitration proceedings were completed on 18th June, 2024. Subsequently, the previous Arbitrator resigned in August 2024, and a fresh Arbitrator was appointed by this Court *vide* order dated 27th September, 2024. The current Arbitrator entered upon reference on 8th October, 2024.
3. In view of the fact that the 12 months period expired on 18th June,



2025, an extension would be required to be granted for the said period.

4. Counsel for the petitioner submits that mandate of the Arbitrator may be extended for the period of one year.
5. The counsel for the respondent does not oppose the same.
6. Having heard the counsel for the parties and taking into account the circumstances as noted above, the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal is extended for the period of one year from today.
7. The period from 18th June, 2025, till today stands regularised.
8. The petition stands disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 22, 2025

ds