



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3093/2025**

KADIR ALIAS QADIR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pavitra Veer Singh, Adv.

versus

THE STATE OF GOVT OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Ms. Amisha Dahiya,
Adv. with SI Shiv Dayal Kumar.
Mr. Gaurav Vashishth, Adv. for the
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

13.10.2025

1. It is noted that on the last date of hearing, at the request of the learned counsel appearing for the applicant himself, the hearing of the present bail application had been adjourned as the learned Trial Court was to examine the complainant. This Court notes that the complainant is yet to be examined before the learned Trial Court and the matter stood adjourned to December, since the learned Trial Judge was on training. It was expected that in case the learned Judge was on leave and there were directions from this Court for recording of the evidence of the complainant, a shorter date should have been given as the bail application of the applicant had been listed for hearing.

2. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing for the applicant seeks



permission of this Court to withdraw the present application with liberty to move a fresh application after the complainant is examined by the learned Trial Court.

3. Accordingly, the present application is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed for. The learned Trial Court may, as per its convenience, prepone the date of hearing for recording of evidence of the complainant.

4. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 13, 2025/A