



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 290/2025, CM APPL. 49771/2025 (Stay), CM APPL. 49772/2025 (Addl.Doc) & CM APPL. 49773/2025 (Delay of 66 days in filing the petition)

MUKUL KHANNAAppellant

Through: Mr. Deepak Rana, Mr. Surender Gupta, Ms. Poonam Tiwari, Mr. Binod Saw and Ms. Prachi Gupta, Advocates.

versus

RASHMI KHANNARespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

% **13.08.2025**

CM APPL. 49770/2025 (For Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 290/2025, CM APPL. 49771/2025 (Stay), CM APPL. 49772/2025 (Addl.Doc) & CM APPL. 49773/2025 (Delay of 66 days in filing the petition)

3. The present Appeal is filed under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, by the Appellant impugning the Order dated 22.04.2025 passed by the learned Family Courts, Shahdara District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, in Guardianship Petition No. 23/2022.
4. By way of the Impugned Order, the learned Family Court has directed the Appellant/Father not to remain present on the same floor



of the building as the mother and the nine-year-old child, in order to facilitate the uninterrupted exercise of visitation rights by the mother of a nine-year-old child.

5. This Court takes note that the mother has been granted visitation rights on every Saturday for a duration of 1.5 hours only. She is entitled to have custody of the child during this period without any disturbance. No ground for interference is made out.

6. Accordingly, the present appeal, along with pending application(s), if any, is dismissed.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

AUGUST 13, 2025/nd/va