



\$~23

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 409/2022 & CRL.M.A. 16844/2022**

SMT. SUSHMA SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Gupta, Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS. & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,  
APP for the State.

Ms. Alisha Roy, Adv. for  
R-2 through V.C.

Mr. Aneesh Saran, Adv.  
for R-3 through V.C.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**11.11.2025**

%

1. Mr. Aneesh Saran, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3 appears through video conferencing and seeks discharge from the present case. He submits that Respondent No. 3 has already engaged the services of a private Lawyer.
2. The present leave to appeal is filed by the complainant / victim against the judgment dated 13.10.2020 (hereafter '**impugned judgment**') passed by the learned ACMM, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in SC No. 9154/2016 thereby acquitting Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 of the charged offences.
3. It is undisputed that the petitioner being a victim is entitled to file an appeal challenging the impugned judgment in terms of the proviso to Section 372 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.
4. In view of the above, the present matter is disposed of with



direction that the present petition be treated as an appeal under the proviso to Section 372 of the CrPC and numbered accordingly.

5. The Registry is directed to transfer entire record of the case, including the requisitioned copy of TCR, to the concerned appellate Court of Sessions.

6. The order be communicated to the concerned Principal District & Sessions Judge for compliance and listing before the concerned Appellate Court on 11.12.2025.

7. The parties are directed to appear before the concerned Appellate Court on 11.12.2025.

8. Considering that the matter has been pending since the year 2022, learned Court of Sessions is requested to dispose of the matter expeditiously.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**NOVEMBER 11, 2025**

**“SK”**