



\$~26

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5533/2025

SH. VIDIT BANSAL & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Samak Mukhopadhyay and Mr.  
Divakar Sharma, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State  
with ASI Parmod Kumar P.S. Farsh  
Bazar.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

% **13.08.2025**

CRL.M.A. 23692/2025 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 5533/2025**

3. The present Petition under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No.0431/2022 dated 20.08.2022 under Sections 498A/406/354/377/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Farsh Bazar, Delhi.

4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 22.11.2019 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that no child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to the temperamental differences the relationship between the



Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 deteriorated and they started residing separately from each other since 14.08.2021.

5. It is further submitted that on the basis of the a Complaint filed by Respondent No.2 with CAW Cell, an FIR bearing No. 0431/2022 dated 20.08.2022 under Sections 498A/406/354/377/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 got registered at Police Station Farsh Bazar, Delhi.

6. It is stated that during the pendency of the trial vide mutual Agreement dated 22.02.2024, both the parties amicably settled all the disputes and differences, and it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the respondent No. 2/wife and the petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent. It is stated that petitioner No. 1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs. 12,00,000/- to the respondent No. 2/wife towards full and final amount of all the claims of the respondent No. 2/wife and child. It is also stated that the petitioner No. 1 has already paid Rs. 8,00,000 to respondent No. 2/wife in two instalments viz., Rs.4,00,000/- was paid at the time of recording of statements in First Motion Petition under Section 13(b) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and another Rs.4,00,000/- was paid at the time of recording of statements in Second Motion Petition under Section 13(b)(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

7. It is further stated that the remaining third installment of Rs.4,00,000/- shall be paid by the petitioner No. 1/husband at the time of quashing of FIR No. 0431/2022.

8. It is also stated that on 27.08.2024, the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 had been dissolved as per Hindu law.



9. In view of the Compromise Deed dated 22.02.2024, the present petition has been filed.

10. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.

11. The third installment of Rs.4,00,000/- has been paid to the respondent No. 2/wife by the petitioner No. 1 today in the Court vide Demand Draft No.067421 dated 07.03.2025 drawn on HSBC Bank, Noida Branch, and the same has been confirmed by the respondent No. 2/wife.

12. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Compromise Deed dated 22.02.2024 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

13. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at *vide* Compromise Deed dated 22.02.2024 and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

14. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

15. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also



the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

16. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

17. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 0431/2022 registered at Police Station Farsh BazarVijay Vihar, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/354/377/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

18. The petition stands disposed of.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**AUGUST 13, 2025/va**