



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5507/2025**

GAURAV ARORA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shobit Dimri, Adv .

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & Anr.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, APP for
State with SI Shankar Kumar, PS
Preet Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

24.11.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.70/2021 under Sections 354/354A/506/509 IPC 1860 registered at Police Station Preet Vihar, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.
2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the parties are tenant and landlord and they have arrived at a settlement.
3. Issue notice.
4. Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, learned APP for the State accepts notice.
5. The petitioner, as well as, respondent nos. 2 are present in Court and they have been identified by learned counsel for petitioner, as well as by the Investigating Officer SI Shankar Kumar, PS Preet Vihar.



6. The case of the prosecution in brief is that the relation between the parties is that of tenant and landlord. A tenancy dispute arose between the parties which led to the registration of the present FIR against the petitioner, at the instance of respondent no.2.

7. It is further submitted that a cross FIR No. 97/2021 was also registered at Police Station Preet Vihar, at the instance of the petitioner.

8. During pendency of the proceedings, the parties arrived at a settlement, terms whereof were reduced in writing in the form of compromise deed dated 09.07.2025, which is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the present petition.

9. It is a term of the settlement between the parties that both the parties shall cooperate with each other for quashing of the present FIR.

10. The respondent no.2, who is present in Court, on a query posed by the Court, affirm the factum of settlement and state that she has no objection in case the present FIR is quashed.

11. At this stage, apt would it be to refer to the observations of the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab***, (2012) 10 SCC 303: (SCC p. 340, para 58)

“58. Where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender and the victim has been settled although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor.”

11. In view of the settlement between the parties, the ultimate chances of conviction in the case are bleak, therefore, continuation of criminal



proceedings will not serve any useful purpose and it will be an exercise in futility.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.

13. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No.70/2021 under Sections 354/354A/506/509 IPC 1860 registered at Police Station Preet Vihar, Delhi alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

14. The petition alongwith pending application stands disposed of in the above terms.

15. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2025

sk