



\$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5516/2025

RAHUL GAUTAM & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Asmit Sharma, Advocate
alongwith petitioners in person

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for the State
Mr. M.K. Sharma, Ms. Vishakha and
Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocates for R-2
alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
12.08.2025

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing No. 630/2011, registered at Police Station Shakarpur, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 18690 (hereafter '*IPC*') and subsequent proceedings thereof.
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned.
4. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 05.12.2007, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that one female



child was born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that due to temperamental differences, both the parties had started residing separately since November, 2010. Thereafter, upon the complaint by respondent no. 2 before the CAW Cell, Delhi, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family members and relatives, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts on 08.08.2024.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. She further stated that she understood the contents of Paragraph 7 of the settlement agreement. It is also stated that she has received the remaining amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- vide Demand Draft (DD) bearing No. 241935 dated 27.06.2025 drawn on Indian Bank. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection, if the FIR is quashed.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court have been filed and the same are on record.

7. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 630/2011, registered at Police Station



Shakarpur, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 and subsequent proceedings thereof are quashed.

9. Accordingly, the present petition along with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

AUGUST 12, 2025/ns