



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3050/2025**

MEERA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pritish Sabharwal, Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Mr. Surbhit Nandan and Mr. Ayush Vardhan, Advocates

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

10.09.2025

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR bearing no. 191/2025, registered at Police Station K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 420/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').

2. The brief facts of the case are that the complainant, Mr. Gaurav Malik, alleged that he was induced by accused Ravi Singh @ Satender, his associates, and family members to part with large sums of money on the pretext of loans, property investment, and assured monthly returns. In this manner, a total of about ₹66 lakhs was taken from him, for which several cheques were issued by the accused persons, all of which were dishonoured. It is specifically alleged that the present applicant/accused, Meera Devi, had



taken ₹3 lakhs from the complainant and issued a cheque which was dishonoured. It is further alleged that she executed certain property documents in favour of the complainant at the instance of co-accused Ravi Singh @ Satender, which remain unrecovered.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant argues that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further argued that the applicant herein has joined investigation and has cooperated with the investigating agency pursuant to grant of interim protection by this Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the application for grant of anticipatory bail be allowed.

4. The learned APP for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, fairly submits that the applicant has joined investigation and has cooperated with the investigating agency. It is also stated that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required.

5. This Court has heard arguments addressed on behalf of the applicant as well as State and has perused the material available on record.

6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that the applicant has joined investigation and custodial interrogation of the accused is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant. In event of arrest, the applicant shall be released on her furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/I.O concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall remain available on mobile numbers; shared by her with the Police.
- ii) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior



- permission of the concerned IO/SHO.
- iii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make an attempt to influence the witnesses or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- iv) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned I.O/SHO.
7. The bail application stands disposed of.
8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025/zp