



\$~100

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12049/2025

A.R. INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND
TECHNOLOGY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Arora, Advocate

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal and Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **22.08.2025**

1. The present petition has been filed by petitioner seeking following relief:

“[a] issue a writ of mandamus and direct the Respondent No. 2 to forthwith pass an order of restoration of recognition of the petitioner for B.Ed course and reflect the status of the petitioner as a recognized institution on its official website in compliance of orders dated 08.04.21 & 30.07.21 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P (C) nos. 4382/21 & 7260/21 respectively; and

[b] Issue a writ of mandamus and direct the Respondent No.2 to send copy of such restoration order to the affiliating university of the petitioner namely "Chaudhary Charan Singh University" and permit the petitioner to take part in counseling for academic session 2025-26 & subsequent years”

2. Mr. Gaurav Arora, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that petitioner institute was recognized for B.Ed. Course for 100



seats (Two Basic Units). However, the said recognition was withdrawn by the Northern Regional Committee vide its order dated 29.03.2025.

3. Against the said order of withdrawal of recognition, petitioner preferred an appeal before the appellate authority, and the appellate authority vide its order dated 24.06.2025, had remanded the case of the petitioner institute to the NRC-NCTE, setting aside the withdrawal order and for necessary action.

4. However, while setting aside the withdrawal order, the appellate authority did not specifically direct that the institution is entitled to the benefit of recognition until fresh order is passed.

5. He submits that after the matter was remanded by the appellate authority, NRC has not issued any order restoring the recognition of the institution with all other consequential benefits.

6. He further contends that in view of the order dated 24.06.2025 passed by the appellate authority, respondents were obliged to restore the recognition of petitioner institution and update the same on website.

7. Mr. Hardik Rupal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent/NCTE submits that he has no objection in case a similar order is passed in the present petition. However, he points out that the appellate committee had also directed petitioner herein to submit documents to NRC within a period of 15 days from the issue of orders in appeal but the said direction has not been complied with by the petitioner.

8. On the other hand, Mr. Arora submits that said direction has been complied with, however, he will supply the said documents once again within a period of one week from today.

9. Let needful be done, as undertaken.



10. In view of the above, the NRC is directed to pass the order of restoration in favour of the petitioner institution for recognition of the concerned course in accordance with law within a period of 10 days from today. The said order shall remain subject to further decision to be taken by the NRC.

11. A copy of the order of restoration of recognition of the petitioner institution for the concerned course will have to be communicated to all concerned. Once the order of restoration is passed, the petitioner institution would be entitled to participate in counselling and admission process for the Academic Year 2025-26 and subsequent years.

12. The writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 22, 2025/jg