



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 514/2025

CHANDRA PRAKASH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Ankur Chibber, Adv.

versus

AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **11.08.2025**

CM APPL. 48964/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

LPA 514/2025, CM APPL. 48962/2025, CM APPL. 48963/2025, CM APPL. 48965/2025

1. The present Appeal is directed against the Order dated 20.05.2025, passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.(C) No.642/2019, dismissing the Writ Petition filed by the Appellant herein seeking a direction to the Respondents herein for appointment of the Appellant to the Post of Junior Assistant (Fire Services), AAI, in terms of the Advertisement issued by the AAI in August, 2017.
2. Learned Counsel for the Appellant states that as per the advertisement, if a candidate completes the task of full ladder climbing within 35 seconds, he is to be awarded full 20 marks for the said task. He states that the Appellant has completed the said task in 35 seconds and, therefore, the Petitioner ought to have been given full 20 marks instead of 19 marks. Learned Counsel for the Appellant draws the attention of this Court



to the reasons given by the Respondent *vide* Order dated 19.12.2018 wherein no justification has been given by the AAI as to why the Appellant has been awarded 19 marks instead of 20 marks. Learned Counsel for the Appellant states that this is extremely crucial because if the Appellant would have been awarded full 20 marks, he would be entitled to be appointed for the post of Junior Assistant (Fire Services), AAI. He states that the learned Single Judge has not adverted to on this aspect while passing the Order dated 20.05.2025.

3. This Court suggested that since this point has not been adverted to by the learned Single Judge, in the fitness of things, it would be more appropriate for the Appellant to approach the learned Single Judge by filing a Review Petition. The learned Counsel for the Appellant accepts the suggestion of this Court and seeks permission to withdraw the present Appeal with liberty to file a Review Petition.

4. Leave and liberty, as prayed for, is granted.

5. The Appeal is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on points urged by the learned Counsel for the Appellant.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

AUGUST 11, 2025

Rahul