



\$~66 & 67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 3013/2025, CRL.M.A. 23309/2025**

AMRIK SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Mr. Manoj
Kumar Gupta, Ms. Puja Kumari Shaw,
Mr. Atin Chadha, Ms. Munisha
Chadha and Mr. Harjas Singh
Chhatwal, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Mr. Ashish Laroia and Mr. Abhishek
Yadav, Advocates for Complainant.

67

BAIL APPLN. 3018/2025, CRL.M.A. 23325/2025

GURDEEP SINGH GUJARAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Mr. Manoj
Kumar Gupta, Ms. Puja Kumari Shaw,
Mr. Atin Chadha, Ms. Munisha
Chadha and Mr. Harjas Singh
Chhatwal, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Mr. Ashish Laroia and Mr. Abhishek
Yadav, Advocates for Complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

10.11.2025

CRL.M.(BAIL) 2127/2025 in BAIL APPLN. 3013/2025 and



CRL.M.(BAIL) 2140/2025 in BAIL APPLN. 3018/2025

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioners does not press these Applications.
2. Applications are dismissed as not pressed.

BAIL APPLN. 3013/2025, CRL.M.A. 23309/2025 and

BAIL APPLN. 3018/2025, CRL.M.A. 23325/2025

3. Separate Bail Applications under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('B.N.S.S.') have been filed on behalf of the Petitioners Amrik Singh and Gurdeep Singh Gujaral respectively for grant of Regular Bail in FIR No.0131/2025 under Section 308(5) BNS, 2023, registered at P.S.: Mayapuri, Delhi.
4. Brief facts of the case are that as per Complainants Davinder Singh, Gurjeet Singh and Ajeet Singh, both Petitioners Amrik Singh (Lovely) and Gurdeep Singh Gujaral had demanded Rs.15,00,000/- for allowing them to continue the businesses by Complainants and they also threatened that if the Complainants did not want to give the money, they could report the matter to the Police authorities. Thereafter, videos have been recorded to show that extortion demands were made by the Petitioners.
5. It is submitted that Petitioner Amrik Singh is aged about 40 years and Petitioner Gurdeep Singh Gujaral is aged about 62 years and they are son and father respectively, who run their own business in Mayapuri, Delhi. It is also submitted that they have been falsely implicated in this case as no death threats were given to the Complainant or any other witness by any of the Petitioners. Alleged video is not genuine as the same pertains to the year 2022, while as per the Complaint, it was shot in the year 2025. Prayer is therefore, made for grant of Bail.
6. **Status Report filed on behalf of the State be taken on record,**



wherein detailing the entire Complaint and investigations carried out therein, the Bail has been opposed.

7. It has been submitted that the with the permission of the Court, voice samples of the Petitioners have been obtained and sent to FSL, result of which is awaited. Both the Petitioners also have prior involvements. Chargesheet also stands filed in the Court.

8. Learned counsel for the Complainant submits that considering the medical condition, he has no objection to grant of Bail to the Petitioners.

Submission heard and record perused.

9. Considering the allegations of extorting money being made in the Complaint and that the Petitioners are in judicial custody for the last more than six months. The Chargesheet has already been filed and trial is likely to take long to conclude.

10. Considering their incarceration and that the trial is likely to take long, the Petitioners/Accused are granted Regular Bail, on the following terms and conditions:

- a) The Petitioners/Accused shall furnish a personal bond of Rs.35,000/- each and one surety each of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court;
- b) The Petitioners/Accused shall appear before the Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing;
- c) The Petitioners/Accused shall provide their mobile numbers/changed mobile numbers to the IO concerned, which shall be kept in working condition at all times;
- d) The Petitioners/Accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not communicate or intimidate the



witnesses; and

- e) In case the Petitioners/Accused change their residential address(s), the same shall be intimated to learned Trial Court and to the concerned I.O.

11. The copy of this Order be communicated to the concerned Jail Superintendent, as well as, to the learned Trial Court.

12. Accordingly, Both Bail Applications are disposed of. Pending Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

NOVEMBER 10, 2025/R



\$~66 & 67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
BAIL APPLN. 3013/2025, CRL.M.A. 23309/2025

AMRIK SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Mr. Manoj
Kumar Gupta, Ms. Puja Kumari Shaw,
Mr. Atin Chadha, Ms. Munisha
Chadha and Mr. Harjas Singh
Chhatwal, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Mr. Ashish Laroia and Mr. Abhishek
Yadav, Advocates for Complainant.

67

+ BAIL APPLN. 3018/2025, CRL.M.A. 23325/2025

GURDEEP SINGH GUJARAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Mr. Manoj
Kumar Gupta, Ms. Puja Kumari Shaw,
Mr. Atin Chadha, Ms. Munisha
Chadha and Mr. Harjas Singh
Chhatwal, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Mr. Ashish Laroia and Mr. Abhishek
Yadav, Advocates for Complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

10.11.2025

CRL.M.(BAIL) 2127/2025 in BAIL APPLN. 3013/2025 and



CRL.M.(BAIL) 2140/2025 in BAIL APPLN. 3018/2025

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioners does not press these Applications.
2. Applications are dismissed as not pressed.

BAIL APPLN. 3013/2025, CRL.M.A. 23309/2025 and

BAIL APPLN. 3018/2025, CRL.M.A. 23325/2025

3. Separate Bail Applications under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('B.N.S.S.') have been filed on behalf of the Petitioners Amrik Singh and Gurdeep Singh Gujaral respectively for grant of Regular Bail in FIR No.0131/2025 under Section 308(5) BNS, 2023, registered at P.S.: Mayapuri, Delhi.
4. Brief facts of the case are that as per Complainants Davinder Singh, Gurjeet Singh and Ajeet Singh, both Petitioners Amrik Singh (Lovely) and Gurdeep Singh Gujaral had demanded Rs.15,00,000/- for allowing them to continue the businesses by Complainants and they also threatened that if the Complainants did not want to give the money, they could report the matter to the Police authorities. Thereafter, videos have been recorded to show that extortion demands were made by the Petitioners.
5. It is submitted that Petitioner Amrik Singh is aged about 40 years and Petitioner Gurdeep Singh Gujaral is aged about 62 years and they are son and father respectively, who run their own business in Mayapuri, Delhi. It is also submitted that they have been falsely implicated in this case as no death threats were given to the Complainant or any other witness by any of the Petitioners. Alleged video is not genuine as the same pertains to the year 2022, while as per the Complaint, it was shot in the year 2025. Prayer is therefore, made for grant of Bail.
6. **Status Report filed on behalf of the State be taken on record,**



wherein detailing the entire Complaint and investigations carried out therein, the Bail has been opposed.

7. It has been submitted that the with the permission of the Court, voice samples of the Petitioners have been obtained and sent to FSL, result of which is awaited. Both the Petitioners also have prior involvements. Chargesheet also stands filed in the Court.

8. Learned counsel for the Complainant submits that considering the medical condition, he has no objection to grant of Bail to the Petitioners.

Submission heard and record perused.

9. Considering the allegations of extorting money being made in the Complaint and that the Petitioners are in judicial custody for the last more than six months. The Chargesheet has already been filed and trial is likely to take long to conclude.

10. Considering their incarceration and that the trial is likely to take long, the Petitioners/Accused are granted Regular Bail, on the following terms and conditions:

- a) The Petitioners/Accused shall furnish a personal bond of Rs.35,000/- each and one surety each of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court;
- b) The Petitioners/Accused shall appear before the Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing;
- c) The Petitioners/Accused shall provide their mobile numbers/changed mobile numbers to the IO concerned, which shall be kept in working condition at all times;
- d) The Petitioners/Accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not communicate or intimidate the



witnesses; and

- e) In case the Petitioners/Accused change their residential address(s), the same shall be intimated to learned Trial Court and to the concerned I.O.
11. The copy of this Order be communicated to the concerned Jail Superintendent, as well as, to the learned Trial Court.
12. Accordingly, Both Bail Applications are disposed of. Pending Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

NOVEMBER 10, 2025/R

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.