



\$~42

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5401/2025**

**VINOD KUMAR & ANR.**

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sakshi Tanwar, Adv. (Through  
VC) along with petitioners in person

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State  
with Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rohan Kumar, Adv. for R-2 along with the  
respondent no. 2 in person

W/ASI Pushpa (Main IO), PS Najaf Garh and  
W/SI Usha Rani, PS J. R. Kalan

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL**

**ORDER**

%

**08.08.2025**

**CRL.M.A. 23224/2025**

1. Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 5401/2025**

3. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No. 0111/2022 registered at Police Station, Jaffarpur Kalan for offences punishable under Sections



323/354/354A/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter “IPC”).

4. The brief facts of the case are that on 27.04.2022, respondent no. 2 was allegedly abused, assaulted and threatened by the petitioners, following a verbal altercation. On the basis of respondent no. 2’s complaint, the aforementioned FIR was registered. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have now settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

5. Memorandum of Understanding dated 12.07.2024 (hereinafter “MOU”) is on record and has been annexed to the present petition. Qua this MOU, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 0111/22 registered at Police Station Jaffarpur Kalan against the petitioners.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel, Ms. Sakshi Tanwar and Investigating Officer, W/ASI Pushpa, Police Station Jaffarpur Kalan. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel, Mr. Rohan Kumar and the Investigating Officer.



**10.** On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

**11.** Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending

**12.** Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. 0111/22 registered at Police Station, Jaffarpur Kalan for offences punishable under Sections 323/354/354A/506/509/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

**13.** The petition along with pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

**AJAY DIGPAUL, J**

**AUGUST 8, 2025**  
**gs/dd**