



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 16399/2023, CM APPL. 66012/2023, CM APPL.66013/2023
SATISH KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjoy Ghose, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Mohit Garg, Ms. Alya Veronica Shah
& Mr. Manvendra Mukul, Advs.

versus

PUNJAB AND SIND BANK & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, Mr.
Anant Gautam, Mr. Deepanjal
Choudhary, Ms. Likivi K Jakhalu,
Mr. Rishi Chauhan, Mr. Azal Aekram
& Mr. Shubham Kumar, Advs. for
R1.

Mr. Ravinder Agarwal, Mr. Manish
Kumar Singh & Mr. Vasu Agarwal,
Advs. for R2.

Ms. Nidhi Banga, SPC with Mr.
Sandeep Choudhar, Adv. for R4.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER
18.11.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the respondents raises a preliminary objection that there is statutory remedy of appeal provided.
2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the Appellate Authority shall take time and the time for filing an appeal has already been elapsed during the pendency of this petition.
3. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of relegating the petitioner to remedy of appeal.



4. In case the petitioner files an appeal within 4 weeks from today, the same shall be considered by the Appellate Authority on merits.
5. Considering that the issue of termination of service is involved, expeditious disposal of the appeal shall be appreciated
6. The Appellate Authority shall dispose of the appeal not later than five months of the filing of the appeal.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2025
Ch