



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 3050/2024**

VIPUL KUMAR NAYEEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Mohd. Javed, Advocate
versus

CUSTOMSRespondent

Through: Mr. Arun Khatri, SSC for Customs

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

08.07.2025

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CRL.M.A. 1842/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.(BAIL) 140/2025 (interim bail)

2. Learned counsel for accused/applicant initially sought adjournment. But the adjournment request was declined in view of old pendency of this bail application and the previous record reflecting that the accused/applicant is not in touch with the counsel. Today was last opportunity to address arguments. At request of counsel for accused/applicant, the matter was passed over so that he could take instructions from the counsel on record.

3. In this recall after pass over, counsel for accused/applicant submits that the counsel on record has requested for permission to withdraw this bail application with liberty to file afresh after the accused/applicant contacts the counsel.

4. Accordingly, the application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JULY 8, 2025/ry