



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11844/2025

CHIDINMA NENE OKEZIE & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajeev Lochan and Mr. Lalit
Singh, Adv. (through v/c)

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC, Mr. Akash
Mishra, Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advs. for
R-1 and 2/UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **27.08.2025**

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner, who is a Nigerian citizen, who arrived in India on 29.07.2016 on a student visa. It is submitted that during her stay in India, she gave birth to three children.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that upon the petitioner submitting an application for an 'Exit Visa' for her children to the Foreign Regional Registration Office (FRRO) on 30.04.2025, certain visa fee / penalty was sought to be imposed on the petitioners as a pre-condition for grant of an Exit Visa.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner no.1 is willing to pay the penalty / fees that may be demanded from her; the limited request is that the children, who are born in India should be exempted from the requirement of such penalty / exit fees.
4. After some hearing, it is directed that the petitioner shall approach the concerned FRRO with valid travel documents for herself and her children,



whereupon the concerned FRRO would consider the petitioner's request for exemption of the visa fee/penalty amount of the children of the petitioner.

5. Upon the petitioner approaching the concerned FRRO with valid travel documents, the FRRO shall take an appropriate decision within a period of two weeks thereafter, under intimation to the petitioner.

6. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

7. Needless to say, if the petitioner is aggrieved with the outcome of the aforesaid exercise, she shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies under law.

SACHIN DATTA, J

AUGUST 27, 2025/cl