



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 5349/2025 & CRL.M.As. 23052/2025, 23053/2025**
BRIJESH SINGHPetitioner

Through: Ms. Harvinder Das, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

% **ORDER**
18.08.2025

1. It is pointed out by counsel for Petitioner that in paragraph nos. 2 & 3 of the order dated 7th August, 2025, W.P.(CRL) 2430/2025 has been inadvertently referred to as Crl. M.C. 2430/2025.
2. Accordingly, it is directed that Crl. M.C. 2430/2025 be read as W.P.(CRL) 2430/2025.
3. The Registry is directed to list W.P.(CRL) 2430/2025 along with the present petition before appropriate Bench today itself i.e., 18th August, 2025.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

AUGUST 18, 2025
as



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 5349/2025, CRL.M.A. 23052/2025 & CRL.M.A.
23053/2025

BRIJESH SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Harvinder Das, Advocate

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State
R-2 & 3 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

18.08.2025

1. The present petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) seeks quashing of FIR No. 163/2019 under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at P.S. Alipur and all proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. The Petitioner places reliance on the Settlement/Compromise Deed dated 12th June, 2021 executed between him and Late Sh. Subhash Chand Jain and other parties. According to the Petitioner, on the basis of the aforementioned Compromise Deed, the disputes with the Complainant stood resolved.
3. It is noted that Respondents No. 2 and 3 have been impleaded in the present proceedings as the legal heirs of the deceased Complainant, Late Sh.



Subhash Chand Jain. Respondents No. 2 and 3, who are present before the Court, state that they became aware of the present petition only upon receiving a call from the Investigating Officer, requesting their appearance in the matter. They submit that they are not in a position to confirm the settlement at this stage, as the copy of the paper book has been supplied to them today itself, and they require time to go through the same

4. Nonetheless, considering that the offences in question, i.e. Sections 420 and 406 of the IPC, are compoundable in nature, and since the chargesheet has also been filed under these provisions, the Court is of the view that, in case, there is indeed a settlement, the Petitioner may instead seek compounding of the offences.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of along with the pending application(s), with a clarification that this Court has not examined the merits of the case. The Petitioner shall be at liberty to apply for compounding of the offence before the Trial Court in accordance with law.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

AUGUST 18, 2025/ab