



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11751/2025 & CM APPL 48057/2025**

VENEET KATARIA AND ORSPetitioners

Through: **Mr. Anuroop P.S., Advocate.**

versus

THE GOVT OF NCT DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: **Mr. Sanjay K. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Mr. Divakar Kapil, Advocates for R-1.**
Mr. Manashwy Jha, Advocate for R-2 and 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **06.08.2025**

1. This writ petition concerns proposed transactions for land measuring 4 bighas 16 biswas of land in *Khasra Nos. 90//18/1 (1-0) and 90//18/2 (3-16) in the revenue estate of Village Ladpur, Delhi* ["subject land"]. Petitioner No. 3 executed a sale deed dated 21.08.2019, concerning 2 bighas and 8 biswas of the subject land, in favour of petitioner No. 1, and a gift deed dated 21.08.2019, concerning the remaining 2 bighas and 8 biswas of the subject land, in favour of petitioner No. 2.

2. The only relief sought by the petitioners is a direction that non-availability of a no objection certificate/land status report should not come in the way of registration of the concerned Deeds, subject to the purchaser/donee being bound by the outcome of the pending



consolidation proceedings in respect of the subject land.

3. Mr. Anuroop P.S., learned counsel for the petitioners, relies upon decisions of this Court in *Okaya Infocom Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr.* [W.P.(C) 12122/2021, decided on 10.11.2023], and *Smt. Nirmala Devi & Ors. v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr.* [W.P.(C) 4046/2025 and connected matter, decided on 01.04.2025], both of which concern lands in the very same village.

4. Moreover, a coordinate Bench in *Chandan Sawhney v. State (NCT of Delhi)* [2024 SCC OnLine Del 8421], following another judgment in *Vinod Kumar Rajoria v. Government of NCT of Delhi and Others* [2023 SCC OnLine Del 5446], reiterated that furnishing of a no objection certificate/land status report cannot be insisted upon for registration of a Sale Deed.

5. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, learned Standing Counsel, who is present on advance notice, states that he does not have specific instructions with regard to whether any acquisition proceedings are pending in this case.

6. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that, subject to verification with regard to any pending acquisition proceedings, the registration of the concerned Deeds will not be refused for want of sanction/approval/no objection certificate or land status reports from the Consolidation Officer. The purchaser/donee will be bound by the outcome of the consolidation proceedings in respect of the subject land. It is made clear that registration of the concerned Deeds in terms of the said order will not affect the rights of any other persons, arising from the consolidation proceedings.



7. The writ petition, alongwith pending application, is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

AUGUST 6, 2025/“Bhupi/KA”/