



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 5305/2025**
SH. VINOD AND ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Anil Kumar Pruthi, Advocate with
Petitioners in person.

versus
STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for State with
SI Brahm Parkash, PS: Sultanpuri.
Ms. Sunita Arora, Advocate for R-2
and R-2 through VC.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
19.09.2025

%

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ("BNSS") has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners for quashing of FIR No.1228/2020 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, registered at PS: Sultanpuri, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, in terms of Compromise Deed dated 05.07.2024.
2. Issue Notice.
3. Learned APP and learned Counsel for Respondent No. 2 appearing on advance Notice, accept Notice.
4. Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 2 submits that as per submissions all istridhan articles were returned. However, one TV and one mattress have not been returned.
5. Learned counsel for the Petitioners has referred to the submissions made by the parties, which were recorded at the time of first motion, no fact is recorded.
6. Learned counsel for Respondent No.2 submits that though the TV had



been returned, but as the same was not working and TV was orally returned to the Petitioners on the assurance that the same would be repaired. There is no record of these oral submissions of TV. As per the submissions of Respondent No.2 has already returned the mattress, but nothing in regard to the mattress is reflected in the submissions.

7. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between Petitioner No.1/husband and Respondent No. 2/wife was solemnized on 11.12.2019, according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. No child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental issues, Petitioner No.1/husband and Respondent No. 2/wife are residing separately since 26.01.2020.

8. It is further submitted that on 09.11.2020, on Complaint of Respondent No.2, FIR No.1228/2020 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC was registered at PS: Sultanpuri, Delhi.

9. It is submitted that the FIR was a consequence of the matrimonial disputes between Petitioner No.1/husband and Respondent No.2/wife. It is stated that the Petitioners and Respondent No. 2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them vide Compromise Deed dated 05.07.2024.

10. The Petitioners are present before this Court in-person and Respondent No.2 appear through VC today and they have been identified by their learned Counsel and Investigating Officer.

11. In the Settlement, it was inter alia settled between the parties that Respondent No. 2/wife and Petitioner No.1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent under Section 13-B(1) and 13-B(2) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, from the Court of learned Principal Family Judge, Delhi. It is stated that Petitioner No.1/husband shall pay a sum of



Rs.2,00,000/- towards full and final settlement of all the claims in all respect i.e. towards past, present and future maintenance, balance istridhan and alimony of Respondent No. 2/wife, in four instalments.

12. It is also stated that Petitioner No.1/husband shall pay first instalment of Rs.50,000/- to Respondent No.2/wife at the time of recording of statements of both the parties before the Court under Section 13-B(1) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955; the second instalment of Rs.50,000/- along with Jewellery Articles shall be paid to Respondent No. 2 at the time of recording of statement before the Court in Petition under Section 13-B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955; the third instalment of Rs.50,000/- shall be paid by the Petitioner No.1/husband at the time of withdrawal of Petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C.; and the fourth instalment of Rs.50,000/- shall be paid by Petitioner No.1 by way of Bank Draft/Pay Order to Respondent No.2, at the time of quashing the aforesaid FIR. It is also submitted that the parties shall withdraw all the proceedings pending against each-other.

13. It is stated that Petitioner No.1/husband has already paid Rs.1,50,000/- to Respondent No.2/wife, which is acknowledged by her. The balance settled amount of Rs.50,000/- has also been paid today in the Court by way of DD No.470439 dated 11.09.2025 drawn on Indian Bank, R. P. Bagh Branch, in favour of Respondent No. 2, which is accepted by her Counsel.

14. It is also stated that on 03.01.2025, the marriage between Petitioner No.1/husband and Respondent No.2/wife, had been dissolved by mutual consent, as per the Hindu law.

15. In view of Compromise Deed dated 05.07.2024, present Petition has been filed.

16. The parties have endorsed the amicable Settlement and accepted the



terms thereof voluntarily and have undertaken to remain bound by the terms of the Settlement.

17. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled vide Compromise Deed dated 05.07.2024 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

18. The present Petition has been signed by the Petitioners and is supported by their Affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of Compromise Deed dated 05.07.2024 and they submit that the said Settlement has been arrived at between the parties, without any pressure and coercion.

19. Respondent No. 2 states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

20. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

21. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the aforesaid FIR in question.

22. Consequently, FIR No.1228/2020 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, registered at PS: Sultanpuri, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

23. The Petition along with pending Application is disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/R