



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5287/2025

GULSHAN KALRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Mr. S.K.
Tyagi and Ms. Yashika Suneja, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with Ms. Puja Mann and Mr.
Chandrakant, Advs. along with SI
Sunit Kumar.
R-2 with her counsel Mr. I.V.
Raghav and Mr. C.B. Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

18.08.2025

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR bearing No. 386/2012, registered at Police Station Jagat Puri, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Section 66 of the Information and Technology Act, 2000 (hereafter '*IT Act*').
2. The petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station Jagat Puri, Delhi.
3. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 was solemnized accordingly to Hindu rites and ceremonies at Delhi on 08.02.2007. No child was born out of the said wedlock. It is



stated that there was irretrievable break down of the marriage, due to incompatible behavior, conduct and temperament of the parties. Accordingly, the parties to the petition have been living separately and they have not been able to live together or cohabited since then. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioner. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute before the learned Family Court, Karkardooma Court, Delhi and their statement to the said effect were recorded by the concerned Court on 19.08.2013 and 28.01.2015.

4. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

5. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 386/2012, registered at Police Station Jagat Puri, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Section 66 of IT Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to cost of Rs.30,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with Advocates' Welfare Fund, Shahdara District, Delhi, within a period of seven days from date.

7. The petition stands disposed of.



8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

AUGUST 18, 2025/A

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J