



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2954/2025**

ROHIT RANA @ MOI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akshay, Advocate with Mr.
Mohit Shokeen, Mr. Anurag S Tomar
and Ms. Shivangi Shokeen,
Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State
with Insp. Ajay Katewa, PS KNK
Marg. .

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

28.10.2025

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks grant of regular bail in FIR bearing No.240/2022, registered at Police Station K.N. K. Marg, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 302/307/201/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959.
2. As per Registry, Status Report filed by the State has been returned under office objection. However, the copy of the same has been handed over to this Court and the same has also been placed on record.
3. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case are that the FIR was registered on the basis of DD No. 121A dated 23.03.2022. The case pertains



to a shooting incident wherein the husband of a lady, who had called the PCR has been shot. Upon receipt of the information, SI Yogesh, along with Constable Sunil, had reached the spot and found several empty cartridges near a white Jaguar car bearing registration number CH-01CC-0864 and a white Swift car bearing registration number DL-2FFA-0054. The injured person was identified as Shekhar Rana @ Sannata, who was initially admitted to BSA Hospital, Rohini, and subsequently referred to Max Hospital, where he succumbed to his injuries on 24.03.2022. The crime scene was examined by the FSL and the Crime Team, and nine empty cartridges along with five bullet leads were seized from the spot. During the course of investigation, co-accused Manmohan @ Gaurav was arrested, who disclosed that a criminal conspiracy had been hatched with the present applicant/accused, Rohit Rana @ Moi, and Jatin Rana to eliminate the deceased. Pursuant to this disclosure, Jatin Rana and accused/applicant Rohit @ Moi were also arrested, and their disclosure statements were recorded regarding the involvement in the conspiracy.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/accused argues that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has been in judicial custody since 18.06.2022. It is submitted that no *prima facie* case is made out against the applicant, as there is not even an iota of evidence to suggest his involvement in the alleged offence. It is further argued that the co-accused in the present case has already been granted bail *vide* order dated 14.02.2025, passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. The learned counsel argues that except for the disclosure statement of the co-accused, there is no material on record to connect the applicant with the alleged offence. It is also stated that the applicant was not



present at the spot at the time of the alleged incident. Therefore, it is prayed that the applicant/accused be released on regular bail.

5. The learned APP for the State, on the other hand, argues that the case pertains to a criminal conspiracy and that the allegations against the applicant/accused are similar to those levelled against the co-accused, Jatin Rana, who has already been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. The learned APP further argues, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, that the applicant/accused was in judicial custody at the time when the alleged incident took place, and the allegations against him primarily relate to hatching a conspiracy. It is fairly submitted that during the course of investigation, no evidence was found to establish that the applicant/accused had contacted the co-accused through the mobile application 'Telegram'.

6. This Court has heard arguments addressed by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant as well as State, and has perused the material on record.

7. This Court is of the considered view that the applicant/accused was taken into custody on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused, Jatin Rana, who has already been granted bail *vide* order dated 14.02.2025 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. It is pertinent to note that the nature of allegations levelled against the co-accused, Jatin Rana, and the applicant/accused are similar.

8. It was further revealed from the disclosure statement of co-accused Jatin Rana that the present applicant/accused was allegedly connected with him through the Telegram app. However, during the course of investigation, no evidence substantiating this claim was found by the police.



9. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, and for the reasons recorded hereinabove and the fact that the present accused/applicant has been in judicial custody since 18.06.2022, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Successor Court/Link Court/Duty Judge concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned Court and if the applicant has a passport, he shall surrender the same to the concerned trial court.
- ii) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned Court and IO/SHO concerned.
- iii) The applicant shall appear in Court on every date of hearing unless exempted;
- iv) The applicant shall not communicate with, or come into contact with the complainant or any of the prosecution witnesses, or tamper with the evidence of the case.

10. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.

11. Nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 28, 2025/vc